







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,732	01/18/2002	Joseph C. Walsh	PP 5.83	4232	
75	90 09/25/2003				
Michael A. Goodwin, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Klaas, Law, O'Meara & Malkin, P.C. Suite 2225			ELKINS, GARY E		
1999 Broadway Denver, CO 80202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727 DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/053,732	WALSH ET AL.	CH				
		Examin r	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
		Gary E. Elkins	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· <u> </u>	on of Claims							
,	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
· · ·	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		h. Tha Paramilana					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
-		arimer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)(☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	s have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	, , , ,			200				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 :					

Application/Control Number: 10/053,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-29 and 34, drawn to a container and a method of opening a container, classified in class 229, subclass 117.3.
- II. Claims 30-33, drawn to a method of making a container, classified in class 493, subclass unknown.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, claims 1-25 and 34 and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process of claims 30-33 could be used to make another and materially different product and the product could be made by another and materially different process from that of claims 30-33. For example, the process of claims 30-33 could be used to make a container including a first access panel formed by a single continuous cut with or without a fold line as opposed to claims 1-17 which require a first access panel formed by a fold line and a plurality of cut lines. The container of claims 18-25 and 34 could be made by attaching a separate first access panel to a container panel as opposed to claims 30-33 which require the first access panel to be formed in a container panel. Also, the container of claims 18-25 and 34 could be made by not bonding the first and second access panels together as opposed to claims 30-33 which require the step of adhering the access panels together. The container of claim 34 could

Application/Control Number: 10/053,732 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

be made by sealing a container using wax or by providing an unsecured film within the container as opposed to claims 30-33 which require the step of adhering a film to a container panel.

- 3. Inventions I, claims 26-29 and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different methods involve different modes of operation, i.e. the steps achieve different results.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for the other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

- 5. The following election of species requirement is made with respect to the claims previously elected (Group I or II above), i.e. the listing of claims readable upon the elected species below does not include those claims within the non-elected Group above.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: I. Figs. 1-16, 21, and 22; II. Figs. 17-20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/053,732 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,732

Art Unit: 3727

gee 23 September 2003

Page 5